

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/616,960	07/11/2003	Yong Wan Kim	8733.160.20-US	2132
	7590 09/24/2004			EXAMINER	
		ONG & ALDRIDGE	SCHECHTER, ANDREW M		
	Song K. Jung 1900 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2871	

DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			V
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/616,960	KIM, YONG WAN	
navioory notion	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew Schechter	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 14 September 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av- final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The office have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context of the c	f extension and the corresponding amount he shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final Office a	extension ction: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifyir	ng the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would to canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amend	lment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi 	dered but does NOT place	e the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly	/
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7 and 9-11</u> .		-	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	\wedge		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	1 1		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: ____

EXAMINER Andrew Schechter
19 September 2004

TECHNOLOS TO SER 2800

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

New claims 12-16 present new combinations of limitations which have not been previously considered, and require further search and/or consideration to see if they are allowable.